Boys: Girls: Control Classroom	ate:	Time of day:
Boys: Girls: Control Classroom	eacher:	
Physical Context Computer Lab	ngth of lesson:mins.	Lesson interrupted: Yes No
Physical Context Computer Lab	oys: Girls:	Control Classroom Experimental Class
Computer Lab	sson Focus/ Topic:	
Physical Environment Heating Appropriate	nysical Context	
Physical Environment Heating Appropriate	omputer Lab □	Stations/Centres Technology Centre
Lighting Appropriate	<u> </u>	
Ouder in electrons	ghting Appropriate Inappropriate Inappropria	Appropriate
	chnology Use	
Technology Use	oftware loading time eacher's comfort level udents' comfort level ngagement of teacher ngagement of students	Appropriate Inappropriate Appropriate Inappropriate Appropriate Inappropriate Appropriate Inappropriate Appropriate Inappropriate Appropriate Inappropriate

Number Concept Activities

I. Counting	Technology used: Y N
a. How much time was spent on counting activities? 1-5 min5-10 min10-15 minMore than 15	Computers Tablets (e.g. iPads) How many? Smartboard Other Software/website(s)
b. If counting in ELM, which activities did students do?	
Activity 1 Activity 2 Activity 3 Activity 4	☐ Activity 5 ☐
c. Did the teacher integrate ideas from the ELM lesson	plan (short, activity 1)? Yes ☐ No ☐
d. Did the teacher use the consolidation questions offer	red in the LP for discussion? Yes \(\square\) No \(\square\)
e. Did the students experience technical problems?	
f. If not counting in ELM, what type of counting activities counting physical objects keeping record while counting one-to-one counting/enumeration counting up/down counting by twos, etc applying ordinal terms associating numeral with a count of objects	s did you see? (Mark all that apply)
What other types of counting activities did you see?	
g. What types of errors did students make?	
h. How did teacher address these errors?	

II. Comparing	
a. How much time was spent on comparing activities?1-5 min5-10 min10-15 minMore than 15	Technology used: Y N Computers Tablets (e.g. iPads) How many? Smartboard Other Software/website(s)
b. If comparing in ELM, which activities did students do	o?
Activity 1 Activity 2 Activity 3 Activity 4	
c. Did the teacher integrate ideas from the ELM lessor	n plan (short, activity 1)? Yes \square No \square
d. Did the teacher use the consolidation questions offer	ered in the LP for discussion? Yes \Box No \Box
e. Did the students experience technical problems?	
f. If not comparing in ELM, what type of comparing act determining whether cardinalities are the sam determining which cardinality is smaller/bigge practicing different ways of saying/writing that comparing neighbouring numbers playing games that involve keeping score mental comparison of number words	e er
What other types of comparing activities did you see?	
g. What types of errors did students make?	
h. How did teacher address these errors?	

III. Adding	
a. How much time was spent on adding activities? 1-5 min5-10 min10-15 minMore than 15	Technology used: Y N Computers Tablets (e.g. iPads) How many? Smartboard Other Software/website(s)
b. If adding in ELM, which activities did students do?	
Activity 1 Activity 2 Activity 3 Activity 4	. 🗆
c. Did the teacher integrate ideas from the ELM lesson	n plan (short, activity 1)? Yes \square No \square
d. Did the teacher use the consolidation questions offer	ered in the LP for discussion? Yes \Box No \Box
e. Did the students experience technical problems? _	
f. If not adding in ELM, what type of adding activities of determining the missing addend by adding obtained counting on or up (using a finger pattern, etc.) solving problems based on part-whole unders writing equations representing adding	ojects
What other types of adding activities did you see?	
g. What types of errors did students make?	
h. How did teacher address these errors?	

IV. Subtracting	
a. How much time was spent on subtraction activities? 1-5 min5-10 min10-15 minMore than 15	Technology used: Y N Computers Tablets (e.g. iPads) How many? Smartboard Other Software/website(s)
b. If subtracting in ELM, which activities did students d	0?
Activity 1 Activity 2 Activity 3 Activity 4	☐ Activity 5 ☐
c. Did the teacher integrate ideas from the ELM lesson	plan (short, activity 1)? Yes \square No \square
d. Did the teacher use the consolidation questions offe	red in the LP for discussion? Yes \Box No \Box
e. Did the students experience technical problems?	
f. If not subtracting in ELM, what type of subtraction accompanie removing objects from a pile counting down (using a finger pattern, etc) solving problems based on part-whole unders writing equations representing subtraction	
What other types of subtraction activities did you see?	
g. What types of errors did students make?	
h. How did teacher address these errors?	

V. Decomposing

a. How much time was spent on decomposing activities?1-5 min5-10 min10-15 minMore than 15	Technology used: Y N Computers Tablets (e.g. iPads) How many? Smartboard Other Software/website(s)
b. If decomposing in ELM, which activities did studen	ts do?
Activity 1 Activity 2 Activity 3 Activity	4 🗆
c. Did the teacher integrate ideas from the ELM lesso	on plan (short, activity 1)? Yes \(\square\) No \(\square\)
d. Did the teacher use the consolidation questions of	fered in the LP for discussion? Yes \Box No \Box
e. Did the students experience technical problems? _	
f. If not decomposing in ELM, what type of decompose finding all pairs of numbers that sum to a given solving problems based on part-whole under writing equations representing a decomposite addressing recognition of either or both the volume decompositions of a number. What other types of decomposing activities did you see the solution of the experiments of the experimen	en number standing ion of a number ertical or horizontal pattern in a table of
g. What types of errors did students make?	
h. How did teacher address these errors?	

VI. Place Value

a. How much time was spent on place value activities? 1-5 min5-10 min10-15 minMore than 15	Technology used: Y N Computers Tablets (e.g. iPads) How many? Smartboard Other Software/website(s)
b. If doing place value in ELM, which activities did stud	dents do?
Activity 1 Activity 2 Activity 3 Activity 4	
c. Did the teacher integrate ideas from the ELM lessor	n plan (short, activity 1)? Yes 🗆 No 🗆
d. Did the teacher use the consolidation questions offer	ered in the LP for discussion? Yes \Box No \Box
e. Did the students experience technical problems?	
f. If not decomposing in ELM, what type of decomposi	ng activities did you see?
g. What types of errors did students make?	
h. How did teacher address these errors?	

Motivation/engagement/enthusiasm
1. Are students engaged by Math activities? How do they show this?

2. Is the teacher enthusiastic about teaching Math? How does s/he show this?
Implementation Check (some items apply to non-ELM Math instruction)
On a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 means "strongly disagree" and 5 means "strongly agree", rate the following items
Students were able to effectively navigate ELM.
2. Teaching support was adequate.
What support/scaffolding was provided as students used ELM?
3. Students provided support for each other
How did they support each other?
4. The ELM activity/activities were related to other activities
5. Teacher used mathematical language when giving instruction
6. Teacher provided clear directions
7. Teacher grouped students appropriately if applicable (e.g., ability level etc)
8. Teacher circulated and provided feedback
9. Teacher reacted to the ELM "softlock" and attempted to help a student.
10. Teacher reinforced Math concepts and skills.
11. Teacher allowed the students who mastered the basics taking more challenging tasks
What types of additional tasks were these? If ELM additional activity(ies) were used, please name them

Overall Quality of Teaching and Student Engagement:

"When observing this classroom, I see the following happening..." (Circle the appropriate response)

1	Not at all	- Students are not attending to the task at hand. They are distracted and
		off-task There is a lot of disruption and movement not related to the activity The teacher cannot get the children to remain on task.
2	Occasionally	 Students occasionally attend to the given task. There is occasional disruption and movement not related to the activity. Occasionally, when the students are off task the teacher is able to refocus the group with some effort.
3	Somewhat	 Some students are attending to the given task. There is little off task behaviour. The teacher is able to guide students through the lesson with minimal diversions from the task.
4	Mostly	 Most students are attending to the given task. There is minimal or no off-task behaviour The teacher is able to guide students through activities effectively.
5	Adequately	 All students are involved in the given task. There is no off task behaviour. The children are discussing the task on their own with little or no prompting from the teacher. The students are providing the teacher with new directions in which to go by actively participating in the discussions and are providing the teacher with feedback.
Othe	r comments:	
	rater reliability: Howard of the time	w often did my colleague and I score or note similar activities while watching the same lesson? 20-40% 40-60% 60-80% 80-100%
Colle	ague's name:	Signature:
Date:		